

Performance of Forage and Conservation Grasses in Northern Idaho

T.C. Griggs and P.E. Kingery



Performance of Forage and Conservation

Introduction

Grassland farming is increasingly recognized as a centerpiece of agricultural sustainability. Perennial grasses play an essential role in soil and water conservation, and offer opportunities for agricultural income from soils unsuited to annual cropping. Many perennial cool-season grasses are adapted to northern Idaho. These follow generally similar patterns of growth and development, but vary in productivity, seasonal growth distribution, and suitability to environmental conditions and management schemes. Precipitation and temperature patterns determine periods of growth and timing of hay, silage, or pasture utilization. Cool, wet conditions during April-June (Figs. 1 and 2) can limit hay harvest opportunities and drying rates. Harvest conditions are usually better in July, when monthly precipitation is low and temperatures are high. Forage producers in northern Idaho must balance the opportunities and challenges presented by 1) risks of rain damage or slow curing if hay is mowed during May and June, when nutritional value is high; 2) soil water limitations to regrowth during July and August, when risks of weather damage are low; and 3) decreasing nutritional value with advancing maturity.

Opportunities for grass farming are excellent in much of northern Idaho, due to favorable environmental conditions, demand for high-quality forage products, and current electric fencing, forage harvesting, and processing technology. Coupled with the need for environmentally-friendly and profitable cropping systems, these factors prompted the initiation of grass performance trials in 1992. Objectives were to evaluate the productivity of various perennial cool-season grasses for commercial forage pro-

duction and soil conservation purposes in the Palouse region. Results of two multi-year grass trials are summarized here. A subsequent publication will address performance of perennial forage legumes in the Palouse region.

Methods

Trials were established on Latahco silt loam soil at the University of Idaho Plant Science Farm, Moscow. Previous crops had been various perennial forages. The forage grass trial included entries expected to produce profitable levels of hay or silage, while the conservation grass trial emphasized entries expected to provide soil cover under lower levels of fertilization and utilization. Entries in each trial ranged in establishment rate, environmental adaptation, growth habit (upright to prostrate, short to tall, bunch-types and sod-formers), growth and development patterns, responsiveness to soil fertility and water levels, and expected stand life.

Seedbeds were prepared by harrowing and cultipacking. In each trial, certified seed (see Tables 3 and 6 for possible exceptions) of each entry was planted in four replicate 4.3- by 18-ft plots arranged in randomized complete blocks.

A small-plot drill with double-disk openers and press wheels placed seed 0.5 in deep in rows spaced 7 in apart. Bulk seeding rates (Table 1) of 30-60 pure live seeds / ft² reflected very good seedbed conditions, depth control, and soil firming. Higher rates would be recommended for less ideal conditions. Early rain in August and September of the seeding year contributed to excellent stand density in the forage grass trial by November, while conditions in the conservation grass trial were more variable. Nitrogen fertilizer application rate varied among trials (Table 2); other nutrients were applied as necessary to maintain levels of soil phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sulfur (S), and boron (B) at or near levels recommended in UI soil fertility management guides. Higher forage production levels would be expected in each trial at N rates of at least 100-120 lb N / ac, particularly for the most productive entries. Weeds were controlled by clipping, application of broadleaf herbicides, spot application of glyphosate, and hand removal.

Forage was flail-harvested to a 4-in stubble height from a 34-in-wide swath running the length of each plot. Dry matter (DM) production was expressed as oven-dry (98°F) forage. Commercial hay yields are probably no more than 80-90 percent of oven-dry plot yields, due to greater harvest losses under commercial conditions. Immediately prior to harvesting, canopy cover (percent of plot ground area covered by forage), weed content of total plot

DM, and stage of growth (vegetative to post-flowering; 10 tillers / plot) were recorded. Plots were trimmed to 4-6 in during fall or winter as necessary to remove excessive regrowth before the next season. Following the final year of harvesting in each trial, plots were maintained by mowing twice each growing season through 1997. Basal cover was assessed in September-October, 1997 on limited regrowth 2-2.5 months after mowing.

Cultivars were compared by analysis of variance (significance tested at $P \leq 0.05$). Protected least significant differences (LSD) were calculated for DM production and maturity stage at 5 and 20 percent levels of probability that




Figure 2. Monthly mean air temperature for Moscow, Idaho, 1993-1996 and 30-year (1961-90) mean

matter, 8 ppm P, 142 ppm K, 3 ppm SO₄-S, and 0.4 ppm B. First cuttings were taken in 1993-1996 on a uniform date in early-mid June (Table 5) when the majority of the entries reached early- to mid-head stages of development. Second cuttings were taken in late July-early August when forage regrowth reached a height (18-24 in) considered economical to harvest or when regrowth ceased. Regrowth was insufficient in 1994 for a second cutting.

Conservation grass trial

Entries (Table 6) of 17 species were seeded on May 21, 1992. Due to late seeding and below-normal rainfall in May and June, plots were sprinkle-irrigated with 0.8 in of water on each of June 4 and 19, 1992. Nitrogen was applied annually at approximately 50-70 lb N / ac in April-early May of 1993-1995 (Table 2). Soil test levels during 1993-1995 averaged pH 5.9, 3.3 percent organic matter, 12 ppm P, 178 ppm K, 2 ppm SO₄-S, and 0.5 ppm B. One cutting was taken annually on a uniform date in mid- to late-June (Table 8) when the majority of the entries reached mid- to full-head stages of development.

Results

Forage grasses

Forage production and cultivar rankings varied among years (Table 3). Forage production ranged up to 10,725 lb DM / ac and was highest in 1994, with a relatively early single harvest

and below-normal rainfall. Prior to 1994, stands were probably still establishing to differing extents and roots may not have extended to their potential depth. Stand density and uptake of soil water and N may therefore have been higher in 1994 than in 1993. The small N application in September, 1993 may also have contributed to 1994 production. Production in subsequent years may have been more limited by available N levels than in 1994, perhaps as a consequence of soil N leaching from above-normal rainfall. Forage production level did not appear to relate well to annual or growing-season rainfall. While many species consistently produced more than 6,000 lb DM / ac, tall fescue was often the most productive species across years. This may be related to its relatively deep rooting habit. Forage production was non-uniformly distributed across the season, with first harvest comprising approximately 65 to 90 percent of the total (Table 3). Orchardgrass and tall fescue tended to have better growth distribution than timothy, smooth brome, and perennial ryegrass. Ellett and Grasslands Pacific

Basal cover in September, 1997 was lower for intermediate wheatgrasses than for most other adapted species.

First-harvest maturity stage varied widely each year (Table 5), as would be expected for such a broad collection. Considerable variation in maturation rate exists within orchardgrass, timothy, and perennial ryegrass in particular. Cultivars were not individually evaluated for maturity stage at second cutting in 1996. Regrowth harvests tended to be leafy, high-quality forage, with a few exceptions. More mature forage in the first cutting in 1993 may be related to a later harvest date than in other years.

Conservation grasses

Forage production and cultivar rankings varied among years (Table 6). Data for the bluegrasses and sheep and Idaho fescues were excluded in 1993 due to poor coverage or high weed content. Many of the data for Nezpar indian ricegrass and Joseph Idaho fescue are missing because these cultivars did not establish well. Plot cover of the bluegrasses and fine fescues, which establish slowly, increased from 1993 to 1995 (Table 7); most of these continued to increase through 1997. Intensive weed management may have contributed to much of this improvement in 1994. Weed content was particularly high in the establishment year in the bluegrasses, bluebunch wheatgrasses, and fine fescues. Forage production ranged up to 10,720 lb DM/ac and was highest in 1994, under below-normal rainfall. Possible explanations of annual variations in production are as for the forage grasses above. Many species consistently produced more than 5,000 lb DM/ac and provided adequate cover in 1994 and 1995. These included tall, intermediate, crested, and slender wheatgrasses, mountain brome, and Great Basin wildrye. Tegmar intermediate and Sodar thickspike wheatgrasses are low-statured cultivars released specifically for cover purposes. Ephraim crested wheatgrass is rhizomatous, whereas other crested wheatgrass cultivars are bunchgrasses. Although mountain brome and slender wheatgrass are considered short-lived species, stand life during the three harvest years appeared to be adequate for all entries except indian ricegrass and Idaho fescue. Basal cover in October, 1997 was below 50 percent for the latter two cultivars, Great Basin wildryes, and P-27 crested, Pryor slender,

Snake River, and bluebunch wheatgrasses (Table 7). Many of the entries appear well-suited for conservation purposes, and the more productive entries may be appropriate for commercial forage production, particularly under higher levels of N fertilization. While forage quality considerations may be less important for these entries than for those in the forage grass trial, harvest maturity stage varied less among these entries within each year than in the forage grasses (Table 8).

Conclusions

A broad range of plant materials is available to suit forage production or soil and water conservation purposes. The trial periods did not permit tests of persistence beyond five years, but a few short-lived entries were identified in each trial. Forage grasses that performed well included timothy, tall fescue, orchardgrass, and smooth and meadow brome. Forage grasses that did less well included the wildryes and some intermediate wheatgrasses. Conservation grasses that performed well included tall, intermediate, crested, slender, and thickspike wheatgrasses, Great Basin wildrye, and mountain brome. Those that did less well included bluegrasses, fine fescues, and indian ricegrass. Because these data were obtained under mechanical harvesting and moderate soil fertility, they may not be representative of performance under grazing or marginal conditions. Many additional cultivars of certified orchardgrass, timothy, tall fescue, smooth brome, perennial ryegrass, and wheatgrasses are available from grassland seed dealers. These will vary in winter hardiness, maturation schedule, seasonal growth distribution, and stress tolerance. Although these trials did not assess forage quality directly, forage

Table 3. Forage grass dry matter production, 1993-1996.

Species	Cultivar	Forage dry matter production									
		Total season production*					Proportion in first cutting				
		1993	1994**	1995	1996	Mean	1993	1994**	1995	1996	Mean
		lb DM/ac					% of total production				
Timothy	Clair***	7941	9482	6334	8171	7982	68	100	77	95	85
Tall fescue	Fawn	5409	10725	8975	6210	7830	65	100	82	81	82
Tall fescue	Stellar	7070	10214	7352	6322	7740	58	100	76	78	78
Tall fescue	Safe	4962	10241	8138	5904	7311	60	100	79	81	80
Orchardgrass	Renegade	6337	9377	6391	6510	7154	63	100	80	91	84
Tall fescue	Cattle club	7067	10256	6507	4677	7127	59	100	78	80	79
Tall fescue	Courtenay	5402	9960	7000	5980	7086	64	100	75	82	80
California brome	Deborah***	6309	8666	6923	6338	7059	77	100	88	91	89
Tall fescue	Desperado	6805	9001	6781	5554	7035	59	100	75	74	77
Timothy	Outlaw	6510	8807	5542	7144	7001	78	100	76	99	88
Intermediate wheatgrass	Rush	5486	8649	6804	.	.	86	100	80	.	.
Smooth brome	Radisson	5370	9859	7059	5232	6880	75	100	87	94	89
Orchardgrass	Justus	5523	9591	5547	6550	6803	56	100	77	92	81
Meadow brome	Fleet	4626	10234								

Table 4. Forage grass ground cover, 1993-1997.

Species	Cultivar	Season mean canopy cover*					Basal cover**
		1993	1994	1995	1996	Mean	29-Sept, 1997
		—————% of ground area—————					
Meadow foxtail	Dan	99	100	97	99	99	95
Tall fescue	Stellar	100	100	96	97	98	92
Tall fescue	Safe	98	100	97	98	98	96
Orchardgrass	Shiloh	98	100	94	96	97	91
Tall fescue	Cattle club	99	100	96	91	97	98
Meadow bromegrass	Paddock	100	98	96	93	96	90
Orchardgrass	Renegade	99	100	91	94	96	88
Tall fescue	Stef	98	100	94	93	96	90
Tall fescue	Desperado	98	100	94	93	96	92
Tall fescue	Courtenay	96	100	92	95	96	91
Orchardgrass	Dakota	99	95	92	94	95	86
Meadow bromegrass	Fleet	96	100	93	90	95	93
Meadow bromegrass	Regar	98	99	93	90	95	71
Orchardgrass	Justus	98	100	92	88	94	80
Orchardgrass	Bronc	95	100	90	91	94	86
Timothy	Nosappu	95	100	87	91	93	84
Orchardgrass	Sampson	94	99	91	90	93	80
Intermediate wheatgrass	Chief	99	100	90	84	93	75
Orchardgrass	Paiute	94	99	89	91	93	90
Timothy	Outlaw	98	99	86	90	93	80
Intermediate wheatgrass	Manska	96	98	88	89	93	61
Perennial ryegrass	Condesa	96	98	80	91	91	79
Reed canarygrass	Palaton	91	98	86	90	91	81
California bromegrass	Deborah	94	99	85	86	91	73
Perennial ryegrass	Ellett	95	96	80	92	91	75
Perennial ryegrass	Bastion	91	100	82	90	91	69
Smooth bromegrass	Radisson	94	96	89	83	91	71
Intermediate wheatgrass	Reliant	89	95	87	88	89	65
Intermediate wheatgrass	Clarke	94	94	89	78	89	69
Tall fescue	Fawn	75	100	78	98	88	96
Smooth bromegrass	Manchar	93	95	79	84	87	75
Creeping foxtail	Garrison	84	93	84	89	87	69
Intermediate wheatgrass	Rush	94	93	85	78	87	68
Timothy	Climax	86	96	74	88	86	71
Smooth bromegrass	Magna	86	95	89	74	86	78
Perennial ryegrass							

Table 5. Forage grass maturity stage, 1993-1996.

		Maturity stage								
		1993		1994*	1995		1996		Mean**	
Species	Cultivar	1st Cut 21-Jun	2nd Cut 27-Jul	1st Cut 2-Jun	1st Cut 9-Jun	2nd Cut 1-Aug	1st Cut 7-Jun	2nd Cut*** 6-Aug	1st Cut	2nd Cut
		scale of 1-3.9****								
Perennial ryegrass	Condesa	2	3	2	2	2	2	1-2	2	2
Russian wildrye	Bozoisky-Select	1	1	3.4	3	1	1	1-2	2	1
Russian wildrye	Mankota	1	2	3.4	2	1	2	1-2	2	2
Russian wildrye	Swift	2	1	3.4	2	1	1	1-2	2	1
Meadow brome	Regar	3.4	2	3.4	1	1	1	1-2	2	2
Intermediate wheatgrass	Chief	3	1	2	2	2	2	1-2	2	2
Intermediate wheatgrass	Clarke	3	2	2	2	1	2	1-2	2	2
Intermediate wheatgrass	Reliant	3	2	2	2	1	2	1-2	2	2
Dahurian wildrye	James	2	1	2	2	3	3.1	1-2	2	2
Intermediate wheatgrass	Rush	3.1	2	2	2	2	2	1-2	2	2
Intermediate wheatgrass	Manska	3.1	2	2	2	2	2	1-2	2	2
Tall fescue	Stef	3.4	1	2	2	1	2	1-2	2	1
Reed canarygrass	Palaton	3	2	2	3	2	2	1-2	3	2
Orchardgrass	Shiloh	3.7	2	3.4	2	1	1	1-2	3	2
Meadow brome	Paddock	3.4	1	3.4	3	1	1	1-2	3	1
Tall fescue	Desperado	3.4	2	3	3.4	1	1	1-2	3	2
Tall fescue	Cattle club	3.4	2	3.1	3.4	1	1	1-2	3	2
Timothy	Nosappu	3	2	2	3	1	3	1-2	3	2
Orchardgrass	Grasslands Wana	3	2	3.1	3	1	2	1-2	3	2
Meadow brome	Fleet	3.4	1	3.4	3.4	1	1	1-2	3	1
Timothy	Climax	3.4	2	2	3	2	3	1-2	3	2
Timothy	Outlaw	3.4	2	2	3	3	3	1-2	3	2
Orchardgrass	Latar	3.4	2	3.1	3	1	2	1-2	3	2
Orchardgrass	Sampson	3.4	2	3.1	2	1	3	1-2	3	2
Smooth brome	Badger	3.4	2	3.1	3	1	2	1-2	3	2
Smooth brome	Magna	3.4	2	3.1	3	1	2	1-2	3	2
Smooth brome	Radisson	3.4	2	3.1	3	1	2	1-2	3	2
Smooth brome	Manchar	3.4	2	3.1	3.4	2	2	1-2	3	2
Tall fescue	Safe	3.4	1	3.1	3.4	1	2	1-2	3	1
Tall fescue	Stellar	3.4	2	3.1	3.4	1	2	1-2	3	2
Tall fescue	Fawn	3.4	2	3.4	3.4	1	2	1-2	3.0	2
Tall fescue	Courtenay	3.4	2	3	3	1	3	1-2	3.1	2
Timothy	Clair	3.4	2	3	3	1	3.1	1-2	3.1	2
Perennial ryegrass	Grasslands Pacific	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.4	2	3	1-2	3.2	2
Orchardgrass	Suborto	3.4	2	3.1	3.4	1	3	1-2	3.2	2
California brome	Deborah	3.4	3	3.1	3.4	1	3.1	1-2	3.3	2
Perennial ryegrass	Bastion	3.7	3	3	3.1	1	3.4	1-2	3.3	2
Perennial ryegrass	Ellett	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.4	1	3.4	1-2	3.3	2
Creeping foxtail	Garrison	3	2	3.4	3.9	1	3.1	1-2	3.3	2
Orchardgrass	Dakota	3.7	2	3.4	3.4	1	3	1-2	3.4	2
Orchardgrass	Justus	3.7	2	3.4	3.4	1	3	1-2	3.4	2
Orchardgrass	Renegade	3.7	2	3.4	3	1	3.4	1-2	3.4	2
Orchardgrass	Bronc	3.7	2	3.4	3.4	1	3.1	1-2	3.4	2
Orchardgrass	Paiute	3.7	2	3.4	3.4	1	3.4	1-2	3.5	2
Meadow foxtail	Dan	3.7	2	3.4	3.9	1	3.4	1-2	3.6	2
Altai wildrye	Eejay	2	1	3	2	1	.	1-2	.	1
Altai wildrye	Prairieland	1	1	2	2	1	.	1-2	.	1
Dahurian wildrye	Arthur	2	1	2	1	3.7	.	1-2	.	2
Prairie brome	Grasslands Matua	3.7	3.4	3.1	2	3	.	1-2	.	3
Mean, entries common to all years		3.2	2	3	3	1	2	1-2	3	2
Standard error of mean		0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2			
LSD (0.05)*****		0.6	0.7	0.5	0.:	0.7	0.6	—		
LSD (0.20)		0.4	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.4	—		

*Only one cutting was taken in 1994.

**Entries are ranked in order of mean first cutting stage across years.

***Individual plot data were not collected; all entries were in stated range.

****Maturity designations: 1=vegetative, 2=elongating, 3=boot, 3.1=early head, 3.4=full head, 3.7=flowering, 3.9=post-flowering.

*****Minimum value required for statistical difference between any two entries within a column.

Table 6. Conservation grass dry matter production, 1993-1995.

Species	Cultivar	Dry matter production*			Mean
		1993	1994	1995	
		—lb DM/ac—			
Tall wheatgrass	Alkar	5559	10720	7558	7946
Great Basin wildrye	Magnar	2914	10651	8173	7246
Intermediate wheatgrass	Tegmar	6185	9528	5826	7180
Crested wheatgrass	Hycrest	5701	8943	6863	7169
Mountain brome grass	Bromar	6718	9636	4845	7066
Sheep fescue	Covar	.	9313	4434	.
Slender wheatgrass	Primar	6111	9000	5368	6826
Slender wheatgrass	Pryor	6413	9301	4638	6784
Crested wheatgrass	Kirk	3682	9175	5830	6229
Kentucky bluegrass	Ginger	.	5486	6964	.
Crested wheatgrass	Ephraim	3433	8488	6474	6132
Crested wheatgrass	Nordan	2619	8605	6515	5913
Thickspike wheatgrass	21076	4272	6695	6754	5907
Great Basin wildrye	Trailhead	1988	8082	6719	5596
Bluebunch wheatgrass	Goldar	3376	7610	5551	5512
Slender wheatgrass	Adanac	5607	6748	3532	5295
Canada bluegrass	Canon**	.	6084	4379	.
Kentucky bluegrass	Troy**	1561	7574	6159	5098
Crested wheatgrass	P-27	2947	7236	5053	5079
Canada bluegrass	Reubens**	.	6414	3704	.
Snake River wheatgrass	Secar	2450	5186	7248	4961
Hard fescue	Durar	2643	7761	4087	4831
Big bluegrass	Sherman	.	2725	6774	.
Bluebunch wheatgrass	Whitmar	1704	6681	5711	4698
Thickspike wheatgrass	Critana	2380	6263	4331	4325
Upland bluegrass	Draylar	2251	5772	4565	4196
Thickspike wheatgrass	Elbee	2515	5576	3244	3778
Thickspike wheatgrass	Sodar	1882	4830	2661	3125
Idaho fescue	Joseph	.	.	.	.
Indian ricegrass	Nezpar	.	.	.	.
Mean, entries common to all years		3692	7829	5552	5691
Standard error of mean		477	880	824	
LSD (0.05)***		1349	2490	2331	
LSD (0.20)		877	1619	1515	

*Data excluded if mean cover <80% and/or mean weed content >20% within a year. Entries are ranked in order of mean production across years.

**Cultivars for which seed certification was uncertain.

***Minimum value required for statistical difference between any two entries within a column.

Table 7. Conservation grass ground cover, 1993-1997.

Species	Cultivar	Canopy cover*				Basal cover**
		1993	1994	1995	Mean	18-Oct, 1997
		-----% of ground area-----				
Mountain bromegrass	Bromar	100	99	96	98	78
Slender wheatgrass	Primar	100	99	96	98	89
Intermediate wheatgrass	Tegmar	98	100	98	98	95
Thickspike wheatgrass	Elbee	95	100	99	98	96
Thickspike wheatgrass	Critana	93	99	96	96	80
Thickspike wheatgrass	Sodar	90	99	93	94	93
Crested wheatgrass	Hycrest	80	100	98	93	65
Slender wheatgrass	Pryor	95	98	84	92	45
Great Basin wildrye	Magnar	83	99	95	92	26
Slender wheatgrass	Adanac	93	98	84	91	88
Crested wheatgrass	Kirk	88	95	91	91	74
Crested wheatgrass	Ephraim	80	95	98	91	74
Thickspike wheatgrass	21076	95	94	81	90	51
Great Basin wildrye	Trailhead	80	95	90	88	26
Bluebunch wheatgrass	Goldar	78	88	88	84	49
Crested wheatgrass	Nordan	78	88	83	83	66
Tall wheatgrass	Alkar	68	79	91	79	86
Bluebunch wheatgrass	Whitmar	65	86	84	78	7
Crested wheatgrass	P-27	68	74	79	73	47
Snake River wheatgrass	Secar	63	73	80	72	46
Hard fescue	Durar	58	74	80	70	89
Kentucky bluegrass	Troy	50	74	84	69	94
Canada bluegrass	Reubens	58	73	71	67	95
Upland bluegrass	Draylar	55	69	71	65	81
Canada bluegrass	Canon	50	58	64	57	94
Sheep fescue	Covar	25	60	79	55	84
Big bluegrass	Sherman	23	54	78	51	70
Kentucky bluegrass	Ginger	28	54	66	49	98
Idaho fescue	Joseph	25	30	40	32	13
Indian ricegrass	Nezpar	38	8	9	18	5
Mean		70	80	81	77	67

*Entries are ranked in order of mean cover across years.

**Assessment of limited regrowth 2.5 mo. after mowing.

Table 8. Conservation grass maturity stage, 1993-1995.

Species	Cultivar	Maturity stage			Mean*
		1993	1994	1995	
		23-Jun	13-Jun	12-Jun	
scale of 1-3.9**					
Slender wheatgrass	Adanac	3.1	3	2	3
Tall wheatgrass	Alkar	3.1	3	2	3
Idaho fescue	Joseph	3	3.4	2	3
Intermediate wheatgrass	Tegmar	3.4	3	2	3
Great Basin wildrye	Trailhead	3.4	3.1	2	3
Thickspike wheatgrass	Elbee	3.4	3.4	2	3
Thickspike wheatgrass	Sodar	3.4	3.4	2	3
Great Basin wildrye	Magnar	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.2
Slender wheatgrass	Primar	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.2
Canada bluegrass	Canon	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.3
Mountain bromegrass	Bromar	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.3
Kentucky bluegrass	Ginger	3.7	3.4	3	3.4
Bluebunch wheatgrass	Whitmar	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Big bluegrass	Sherman	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Snake River wheatgrass	Secar	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Canada bluegrass	Reubens	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Crested wheatgrass	Ephraim	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Crested wheatgrass	Hycrest	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Crested wheatgrass	Kirk	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Crested wheatgrass	Nordan	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Indian ricegrass	Nezpar	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Sheep fescue	Covar	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Crested wheatgrass	P-27	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Slender wheatgrass	Pryor	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Thickspike wheatgrass	21076	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Thickspike wheatgrass	Critana	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Upland bluegrass	Draylar	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Bluebunch wheatgrass	Goldar	3.7	3.1	3.4	3.4
Kentucky bluegrass	Troy	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.5
Hard fescue	Durar	3.4	3.4	3.7	3.5
Mean		3.4	3.3	3.0	3.2
Standard error of mean		0.1	0.0	0.3	
LSD (0.05)***		NS	0.1	0.8	
LSD (0.20)		NS	0.1	0.5	

*Entries are ranked in order of mean stage across years.

**Maturity designations: 1=vegetative, 2=elongating, 3=boot, 3.1=early head, 3.4=full head, 3.7=flowering.

***Minimum value required for statistical difference between any two entries within a column. NS=no significant differences.

About the authors

Thomas C. Griggs is an assistant professor and forage physiologist in the UI's Department of Plant, Soil, and Entomological Sciences.

Peggy E. Kingery is a research support scientist in the forage physiology program at UI's Department of Plant, Soil, and Entomological Sciences.

Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, LeRoy D. Luft, Director of Cooperative Extension System, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83844. The University of Idaho provides equal opportunity in education and employment on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, age, gender, disability, or status as a Vietnam-era veteran, as required by state and federal laws.