

**Silvicultural Decisions VIII -
The Role of Silviculture in Meeting Riparian Objectives**

others. Later successional, nonsprouting species such as the firs, spruce, (cedar, hemlock) and certain pines were likely spotty, temporary dominants in riparian settings.

Such is not necessarily the case now. **Our successful efforts at controlling wildfires has not only allowed a proliferation of woody species on much of our uplands but has likewise allowed a gradual yet continual build up of coniferous trees in our riparian settings.**

For those who might emphasize wood production, this move toward conifers may seem positive. But, **from an ecological standpoint, might these changes be disruptive?** Many of our riparian systems that have live, moving surface water present, i.e., those associated with creeks or rivers, often require the presence of special hydric (water adapted) understory species in order to adequately withstand the erosive forces of moving water. As succession advances toward a conifer overstory, these understory hydric species are gradually shaded and crowded out of the composition. Unless there is a high percentage of boulders and cobbles in the stream bed and on the stream banks, the conifer roots alone, without additional backup from the roots of understory hydric species, will not provide the stability necessary to allow a balance between bank building and bank breakdown processes. In these kinds of settings, we can benefit from silvicultural practices that prevent such conifer dominance. (Evidence from soil/water chemistry studies suggests dramatic changes in pH (acidity), nutrient composition and cycling with these vegetation changes, which would greatly affect riparian organisms.)

There is ample evidence of settings where dense conifer canopies exist with essentially no shrub or herbaceous understories present. In these settings, stability of banks has been critically reduced. Mechanical forces of water eventually cut around root-clumps of the trees resulting in a deposition of the tree, root-clump, and soil into the stream system. While some of this is likely natural, accelerated (bank) cutting alters the stream channel too rapidly and adds abnormal amounts of sediments and woody debris into the stream.

Under presettlement conditions it appears that the presence of small patches or scattered individual trees in our riparian ecosystems was likely a normal situation. Under the more open, scattered canopies other tree, shrub, and herbaceous species provided the required

stability to the system while, at the same time, allowed some “normal” amounts of woody debris to become a natural part of riparian ecosystems.

In addition to a higher degree of riparian stability with the mid-successional species, the deciduous overstory species, along with the properly adapted understory species, provides an ecological setting unmatched for diversity of plant and animal species. This is the natural setting and habitat for many of our native bird and mammal species. Scenery offered by this diversity is often favored by many of our recreating public as well.

Many advocate no timber harvesting in riparian settings. Generally, this restriction is related to the extreme damage that can take place in the wet settings during the logging operation and to the potential detrimental effects on stream habitat and water quality. However, in the interest of ecological stability in riparian settings it gradually is becoming necessary to initiate some type of management process that will restrict dominance of later successional (conifers).

Depending on the setting and on other special circumstances, the removal or thinning of these trees may be accomplished through either prescribed fire treatments or through appropriate timber harvesting practices. Any silvicultural practices will have to be done in a special and gentle way in which damage to the other vegetation and to the soil and water resources is minimized. Seldom will it involve a complete tree removal process. Instead, harvesting will have to be accomplished in a series of entries where all trees are not removed at once. Also, we will need to leave an appropriate amount of trees to live and die in the system; this apparently was nature’s way. Recent studies are providing information on the need for large woody debris in riparian systems. Now, we need to develop information that provides us a better feel for how much to leave in different riparian settings. (Much of this information has been gathered and incorporated into current riparian regulations, but without regard to composition, often emphasizing conifers and overlooking pre-fire reference conditions).

This information first appeared in Woodland NOTES, Vol. 14, No. 2.

About the Authors: _____ is an Extension Forester and Professor at the University of Idaho. _____ is a Range Ecologist (Retired), USDA Forest Service.
