#### DAIRY COMPOST UTILIZATION IN CROPPING SYSTEMS

Christi L. Fal en<sup>1</sup>, Dale T. Westermann<sup>2</sup>, Jeff C. Stark<sup>3</sup>, and Terry A. Tindall<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Idaho, Lincoln Courty Extension Educator

<sup>2</sup>USDA-ARS, Kimberly, Idaho

<sup>3</sup>University of Idaho, Aberdeen R&E Center

<sup>4</sup>JR Simplot Company

#### ABSTRACT

Composted dairy reanure applications were evaluated for four years in crop production at Kim perly, Idaho. Optimum dairy compost rates considering economic, agronomic and environmental factors for justainable crop production were determined. Sweet corn for seed had adequate nutrients available for production, with compost not significantly increasing yield over the pontrol. The optimum compost rate for potato production was 2.5 tons/acre (T/A) compost plus 150 lbs nitrogen (N)/c cre (A) of commercial fertilizer. In organic potato production an application rate of 5-1.) T/A of compost and then holding it in the "soil bank" for several years would be advantageous, as long as the fields are levely ith little chance for soil erosion and loss of next trients into water soul ces. Malt barley production was good with 2.5 T/A of compost, but max mum profits were obtained with no compost and 6.0 lbs N/A of fertilizer. Sugarbeet production was optimized with 2.5 T/A of compost and 100 lbs N/acre of fertilizer.

#### INTRODUCTI DN

Manure can be a valuable resource material in cop production systems without a negative environmental impact if properly managed. One impediment to its use is high hauling cost since fresh manure can contain up to 80% liquid by weight. The weight problem can be reduced by composting. Compost as an end product is the humus-like material that can provide nutrients, organic matter and other soil improving qualities. Compost contains less N than raw man re and the N is in a different form. Mobile nutrients in compost are more stable and less like to contribute to environmental pollution through eaching or runoff losses. Since southern Idah soils are low in soil organic matter, they would likely benefit from compost additions.

Recent (2008-2 010) fluctuations in fertilizer prices and input costs for crop production systems have increased the desire for knowledge on how composted dairy manure can effectively fit into crop ping, systems in southern Idaho. Previous research completed by the University of Idaho and A pricultural Research Service of these questions or optimum economic, agronomic, and environmental compost application rates for su stail able irrigated crop production in in southern Idaho.

#### **METHODS**

achieve optimue h production.

A four-year study was initiated in 199 on a grower-cooperator's field near Kimberly, Idaho. The soil was a filt learn and the crop relation was sweet corn for seed, potatoes, malting barley, and sugarbeets. Pry thears were grown in 1995. Irrigation was furrow in 1996, sprinkler in 1997, and a center pivot in 1998-99, with the corners irrigated by solid set. All cultural practices including irrigation a mounts and scheduling were controlled by the producer. Commercial fertilizer was not applied on the study to te, except for a N fertilizer variable started in 1997 to

SAIS.

The conclept of the study was the evaluate initial compost rates to 'jump start' the soilplant-water sys cm, and then determin vearly application rates needed to maintain the system for optimum crop production. The explimental design was a completely, randomized block with the treatments at ranged as split-split by cks. The initial compost applications were the main blocks, which were Firther split for the yearly applications, and in turn were split for the N fertilizer treatments. The experiment contained four replications. All data was analyzed with

followed with in ligation to incorporate the fertilizer:

Initial compost rates of 0, 2.5, 10, 10, and 20 T/A were applied in the spring of 1996 before pre-plan tillage operations. Yelly compost rates of 0, 2.5, and 5.0 T/A were applied in the fall of 1996 1997 and 1998. All collipost applications were applied on an oven-died weight basis, with come herci al application equipment, and incorporated by disking or plowing. A subsample of compost was taken from each truck load at the time of application for chemical analysis. The Ni fertilizer (urea) was armilied at a single (or in-season), recommended rate at the optimum times for each specific crop. In applied to the growing crop, the urea was immediately

Nutrient uptal e was monitored uring each growing season by sampling whole plants two or three times. Standard plant tisself analysis samples for nutritional monitoring were also obtained. Final 5 rop 5 ields were determent at the appropriate time for each crop. Econor ic analysis was performed using cost information for inputs and crop harket value and quality incentives at the time of the study.

and organic mart er characterization.

Initial so I samples from each the ck and subsequent samples from each plot were taken in 12-24 inch increment; in the spring of tach year before tillage and any fertilizer applications. Standard soil tests were performed on sees samples, including a laboratory estimate of N mineralization. I he buried plastic bag | chnique was used to estimate N mineralization under field conditions by in stalling 18-inch by as filled with soil from the plot shortly after planting the respective crop and determining soil mate concentrations in the bags during the season. Additional soil haraciteristics measure at the mid-point of the study included infiltration rate

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compost chara: teriscics

As delive red, the dairy composi iron levels were higher than expected, during handling The nine alizable N plant available [] (ann nomium (NH4-N

contained the range of nutrients shown in Table 1. The esumat ly from soil being mixed with the compost lues in the treated soil are determined by measuring und nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>-N)) concentrations over time in a

le boratory incuba 11. Average amounts of composit on a dry

a nount in the consist and not plant-available nutries. s. Approximately 184 lbs P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/A, 228 units of K<sub>2</sub>O/A, and smaller amounts of millimiter were added by plying 10 T/A of smaller amounts of military utries were added by light basis.

rients ar ton of compositionly reflect the total

## N| mine ralization ∮i ring the season

Part of the nefit from a compost a vailable to the crivin The mineralized N in combined with the the year of application

Residuc I soil NO3 and NH4-N and miner

N released from compost is not real suscessible to early sead a leaching losses, since it must be minerally and to nitrate from an or the form Mineralization we stend to parallel soil

> taken after harvest in the and 1 97 showed low to 5 ppm) NO<sub>3</sub>- N and s. No apparent differe occupa ed between treat ents. The crops nearly all the available uring NO<sub>3</sub> N leaching follo

cation vill be from the sed a ne compost rate a variable to the crive. The mineralized N in sed at the compost rate growing; season in thich the compost was sed. At average of about n ineral ized betwee April 1 and Septembell from such ton of company

mineralized and made creased during the 0 lbs of N was applied. This itial NO<sub>3</sub>-N and NH<sub>4</sub>-compared st provided 12-labs N/T for plar t growth n.

d⊖creas ≥.

Soil sampl NH<sub>4</sub>-N concentrat apparently remove potential for residu

Table 1. Nutrien

temperatures under rigation. So when cro with hows in the early will, mineralization rates

dair.

e growing sease suggesting the ompost applica

n is low.

oncentrations of com

ed dally manure on a lev weight basis.

Sheer ations of com	ι, τ	u uz i y	manure o	u a may weigi
Property		I ng	ge A	ver: //Ton
Water, %	-		20-30	
Total N, %	;	0, < 1.	45	2 1 1
Piosphorus, %		0.11.0	40	8 1
Fotassium, %	:	0.9 2	04	1 0
Calcium, %		1.31 2	61	2 ↓ ∯5
Magnesium, %		0.11 0	.95	1 // II b
Sulfur, %		0.30 0	75	$\epsilon \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$
Sodium, %		0.2 1 0	52	$\epsilon_{[ij]}$
Zinc, ppm	•	$6 \mathbb{C} 17$	1	0.1/2 5
Iron, ppm	$\{j_1,j_2,\dots,j_{k-1}\}$	850 L0	500	1 5
Manganese, ppm	. 1 ppl	18 7 40	00	0.3 15
Copper, ppm		2 53	0	0.66/1/в
Soron, ppm		հ դ 40	)	0.03 /Б
Molybdenum, ppm		177		0.0
N trate-N, ppm		5( 90	00	1. 5
Amr ionium-N, ppm		<u></u>		0.0 b
Mine alizable N, ppm	te of.	3( 50	00	0. 15

Infilt

Inf Itration

using a single ring infiltrometer was obtained in late summer of 1997. There ences between treatments for the total water volume infiltr jed or steach in the infiltration rate. However, there was a trend for the highest compost rate than where no compost was applied.

Soil o an c matt

Soi organ and s ar compou distril ion of the tende p i crease

atter from selected compost treatment plots prior to planting in spring 1997 was firstic nated in a incomposar (fats, oils, and waxes), hemicelluloses, lignin, cellulose, starch There were no significant treatment differences for the relative actions, but the relative amount of cellulose, starch and sugar compounds le higher compost rates.

Swee for for section

eld

suffic

spring soi els for P (25 ppm), K (180 ppm), and Zn (3.1 ppm) were apparently at for crop ds as there was no response to any compost rate. The top 2 feet of soil contained about 1 N/A as NO<sub>3</sub>-N and NH<sub>4</sub>-N before planting. There also was more than 180 lb N/A nir eralize ere no compost was applied. No fertilizer N was applied to the sweet corn.

Potation in the previous fall

will b "lisc ussed of effect Table 2). fertili: w s 518 d 3 A.

Rus set Bur potatoes were planted in April 1997. The N and yearly compost effect at the zero initial compost rate to separate the discussion of treatment post tended to increase yields, particularly at the 5 ton rate in the absence of N all dicution. I presence of N, highest yields were obtained at either the 2.5 or 5 T/A compositive rate. Tub leids increased from 469 cwt/A to 529 cwt/A when 5 T/A of compositivas applied the previous and 150 lbs N/A applied during tuber growth. Yield with N fertilizer and not not post with 3 cwt/A, while yield from only the 5 T/A compost treatment without N

1 x fall appli produltion. This al for cred up ake in system tha are fa haulin in mut the same timing fie d. for eac

on of dairy compost is a good way to prepare for the next year's crop s nutrients time ar denvironmental conditions necessary to be available Maring. Utilizing dairy compost can be more cost effective for cropping away from the deurie s in comparison to straight manure because of the sos s for the manure. Streading dairy compost in 2010 can be accomplished as commercial fer tilizer by calling with a certain T/A to apply and the her it is con it is con it is eted and a spread majo using GPS (global positioning system) is generated

Potate dield from

<u>April of 1996</u> <u>April of 1996</u>

. si/mifical during 🗓 e g rowing statisti lly signific (10-20)'A' tended compc du ing the and 53. cw /A whe additic of I fertil

eld response occurred from commercial N (150 lb N/A) split applied son. Main effects from the initial 1996 compost applications were not for any measured yield parameter. However, high initial compost rates educe N application offects (Figure 1). There was a positive effect of and cropping year after application. Total tuber yields in 1997 were 503 0 and 20 T/A had been applied in April of 1996, respectively. The ncreased the tuber yi elds at only the lower initial rates, i.e. 2.5 and 5 T/A. This information is beneficial for an organic producer because it shows the yield potential without commercial fertilizer. The hauling and spreading costs per crop would be less are ply agreement of the year, or every few years, compared to yearly applications. A crop of sweet corn for seed had already been grown in 1996 and there were still nutrients becoming available from the compost for the potato crop.

Table 2. Effect of N and yearly compost on potato yield and quality at the zero initial compost rate.

Yearly Compost Ton/Acre	Fertilizer N Rate lb/A	Total Yields cvvt/A	Tubers % ones	Tubers % > - 10 oz.	Specific Gravity
$\mathbf{p}^{\cdot}$	0	4.69	83.4	28.3	1.089
2.5	, 0	474	86.1	24.2	1.088
5.0	0	5 18	85.4	27.3	1.089
0	150	513	83.2	37.3	1.086
2.5	150	528	90.2	36.9	1.082
5.0	150	529	85.9	37.3	1.083




Figure 1. Potato yields with initial 1996 compost application rates and zero yearly compost additions on 1997 total potato yields. N+ had 150 lb N/A split applied during the growing senson. N- had no commercial fertilizer applied.

Potato Quality

Tuber quality parameters were generally high. Percentage large to bers (> 10 oz.) we increased by the N application. Highest specific gravity (SG) occurred where compost we applied without N fertilizer, but application of N fertilizer and compost logether reduced SC There was no effect of compost on internal tuber quality parameters (hollow heart, brown cer te and brown spot)

# Economic returns with fertilizer and potato price considerations for 2008

Dairy compost provides a realistic option for potato growers to help meet the nutritional needs for their potato crops using limited commercial fertilizer. Economic evaluations showed the highest return from 2.5 T/A initial compost (April 1996) + 150 lb N/A of urea. Price adjustments were made for the percentage of number-one tubers above 50%, the percentage of 10 oz. tubers above 11%, and for SG higher than 1.077. **Table 3** provides an example showing average nutrients in compost, fertilizer prices, and the nutrient value compost could provide.

Table 3. Compost average nutrient content, early 2008 fertilizer costs, and nutrient value

per ton of compost.

Nutrient	Compost Nutrient Units/Ton	Fertilizer Unit Cost	Nutrient Value/T of Compost
N - urea	14.5	0.65	\$9.42
$P_2O_5$	1.2.0	0.95	\$11.40
$K_2O$	26.0	0.58	\$15.08
Elem. S	3.0	0.45	\$1.35
1 Ton Compost	Value for just NPKS	) (	\$37.25

This serves as a snap shot in time and can be modified for various products, compost analysis and fertilizer prices.

## Malt barley production

There was a significant response to N fertilizer (60 lb N/A, preplant) and a significant N \* yearly compost interaction on yield, screenings, protein concentration, (**Table 4**) and net returns. The optimal annual compost rate for malt barley was 2.5 T/A with N fertilizer. However, maximum profits were obtained with no compost and 60 lb N/A fertilizer.


Table 4. Effect of N and yearly compost on malt barley
--

Yearly Compost Tons/A.cre	N rate lb/acre	Y ields  b/A	Test Weight Ib/bu	Screen %	Adjusted Protein %
0	0	4387	50.0	8.2	11.95
2.5	0	\$5221	50.8	7.8	11.68
5.0 <sup>1</sup>	0	4792	50.7	7.8	11.85
0	60	6053	50.6	14.5	11.98
2.5	60	<b>\$95</b> 1	50.0	19.9	12.00
5.0	60	6189	50.4	14.9	11.95

## Sugarbeet production

The major compost response was related to annual compost at the 100 lb N/A fertilizer rate. Yearly applications of 2.5 T/A produced the highest root and sucrose yields (**Figure 2**) and net economic return. The 200 lb N/A rate reduced sugarbeet yields overall compared to the 100 lb N/A rate, possibly due in part to the lower, less uniform plant stands in the high N plots. There

fects of the initial 1996 compost application treatments on root or sucrose we enot significantly affected by annual compost rates, but breised at the 5 T/A compost rate (data not shown).



t su crose yield with <u>yearly</u> compost at 100 or 200 lb N/A of fertilizer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I support from Magic Valley Compost and United Dairymen of Idaho is dgell, as well as the excellent support and cooperation of Kip Wooten and ers/cooperators, and John O'Connor, Farm Management, Inc. The authors I of her research and extension personnel who contributed time and effort in y.

adısıdı a donulu